TEACHER GUIDE:

Teaching Students How to Analyse and Evaluate





National Centre of Religious Education for Wales



The Task

STUDENTS ARE ASKED TO:

Analyse the statement by breaking it down and considering what it means.

Evaluate the statement by weighing up arguments for and against it.

Refer to religious and non-religious beliefs, teachings, practices, and perspectives in their analysis. (This requires students to understand content, use evidence form sources, balance perspectives, and form a reasoned judgement.



The Core Skills to Teach

ANALYSIS

Unpacking the meaning of the statement and showing how religious/non-religious sources relate to it.

9 EVALUATION

Judging the strength of arguments, recognising diversity within traditions, and weighing up evidence.

1 USE OF SOURCES

Applying religious texts, teachings, or practices accurately to support points.

BALANCING PERSPECTIVES

Presenting more than one side of the argument before drawing a conclusion.

REASONED JUDGEMENT

Forming a final, justified conclusion that directly answers the statement.

Step-by-Step Teaching Ideas



Unpacking the Statement

- **Explanation:** Students often jump into writing without understanding what the statement is really asking.
- Teaching Idea:
 - Give students a statement (e.g. "War can never be justified").
 - Ask: What key terms need defining? (e.g. "war", "justified").
 - Use a "Question Web": in groups, students brainstorm questions the examiner might expect them to consider (e.g. "What do religions say about peace?", "What about Just War Theory?", "How do Humanists respond?").

2

Using Sources Effectively

- **Explanation:** Students may list quotes or teachings without linking them to their argument. They need to <u>apply</u> sources.
- Teaching Idea:
 - Provide three quotations from different traditions.
 - Ask students to explain:
 - What the quote means.
 - How it supports or challenges the statement.
 - Example: "Blessed are the peacemakers" (Matthew 5:9) → supports pacifist arguments against war.

3

Building Analytical Points

- **Explanation:** Analysis means showing how beliefs connect to the statement, not just repeating information.
- Teaching Idea:
 - Model the difference:
 - "Christians believe in forgiveness."
 - "Christians believe in forgiveness, which suggests reconciliation is better than war, so this challenges the idea that war is justified."
 - Activity: Give students belief/practice cards and ask them to complete the sentence:
 - "This belief/practice shows that..."

Step-by-Step Teaching Ideas





Developing Evaluation Skills

- Explanation: Evaluation is about weighing arguments, not just describing them.
- Teaching Idea:
 - Introduce "PEEL" for evaluation:
 - Point make a clear argument.
 - Evidence use a source, teaching, or example.
 - Explain show how it relates to the statement.
 - Link judge its strength compared to another view.
 - Discussion Carousel: students rotate around the room with mini-discussions (religious vs non-religious perspectives) before writing a paragraph where they weigh up the two sides.



Including Diversity Within Traditions

- **Explanation:** Higher marks are rewarded showing that not all religious believers agree.
- Teaching Idea:
 - Use "spectrum lines" in class. (e.g. place "Strongly Agree" at one end and "Strongly Disagree" at the other.
 - Give groups different religious denominations or schools (e.g. Catholic vs Quaker views on war).
 - Students place themselves on the line and explain why.



Structuring Evaluation Paragraphs

- **Explanation:** A well-structured paragraph helps clarity and shows balance.
- Teaching Idea:
 - Provide sentence starters:
 - "Some religious believers argue that..."
 - "However, others in the same traditions might..."
 - "A non-religious perspective is..."
 - Overall, this suggests that..."
 - Encourage students to aim for **two balanced evaluation paragraphs** plus a reasoned conclusion.

Step-by-Step Teaching Ideas



Writing a Reasoned Conclusion

- **Explanation**: Students often restate both sides rather than making a clear judgement.
- Teaching Idea:
 - Model "tiered" conclusions:
 - Basic: "Some agree, some disagree."
 - Better: "The stronger argument is X because..."
 - **Best:** "The stronger argument is X because... However, in a different context (e.g war of self-defence), the other side may carry more weight."
 - Provide a conclusion checklist: Does it (a) answer the statement? (b) use evidence? (c) weigh up arguments?

Extension/Challenge Activities

- Ranking Task: Students rank arguments from strongest to weakest, justifying their order.
- Mock Examiner Role: Students swap work and highlight whether the answer has (1) clear analysis, (2) evaluation, (3) a justifies conclusion.
- **Times Exam Practice:** Set a past paper evaluation question and use peer-marking against mark schemes.



- Keep supporting students to **apply, not just recall:** knowledge earns marks only when linked to the statement.
- Encourage **balance**: at least one religious and one non-religious perspective must appear.
- Emphasise that **evaluation** ≠ **opinion**: it's about evidence-based judgement.
- Remind students that **clarity and structure** are as important as content for examiners.



